unique needs of unaccompanied youth experiencing homelessness who are 24 years of age and younger includes the following:

1. Unsheltered homelessness	Yes
2. Human trafficking and other forms of exploitation	No
3. LGBT youth homelessness	No
4. Exits from foster care into homelessness	Yes
5. Family reunification and community engagement	Yes
6. Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, and the use of Risk and Protective Factors in assessing youth housing and service needs	Yes

3B-1c.1. Unaccompanied Youth Experiencing Homelessness–Prioritization Based on Needs.

Applicants must check all that apply that describes the CoC's current strategy to prioritize unaccompanied youth based on their needs.

1. History of, or Vulnerability to, Victimization (e.g., domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse)	X
2. Number of Previous Homeless Episodes	X
3. Unsheltered Homelessness	X
4. Criminal History	
5. Bad Credit or Rental History	

3B-1d. Youth Experiencing Homelessness–Housing and Services Strategies.

Applicants must describe how the CoC increased availability of housing and services for:
1. all youth experiencing homelessness, including creating new youth-focused projects or modifying current projects to be more youth-specific or youth-inclusive; and
2. youth experiencing unsheltered homelessness including creating new youth-focused projects or modifying current projects to be more youth-specific or youth-inclusive.
(limit 3,000 characters)

1. The COC provided letters of support & collaborated with 2 youth shelters to help access additional DOJ funds. 1 Shelter receives ESG funds, providing access to RRH funds to help youth access PH quicker.
2. Coordinated Entry system streamlines referral of youth to appropriate housing & services. Prioritization for services includes youth, determining access to housing based on length of time homeless and severity of needs. Increased collaboration with McKinney-Vento liaisons, reaching out to 80

liaisons throughout COC area enhances data about youth homelessness & increases ability to identify homeless youth. COC uses PIT data & HMIS data to measure effectiveness of strategies. # of unsheltered youth was down up by 3 individuals from 2017 in spite of the fact that the COC received responses from 35 McKinney-Vento liaisons in 2018. 3 additional unaccompanied youth reported in ES, 6 additional in TH and 3 additional in PH.
3. Comparison of sheltered & unsheltered youth on PIT and HMIS data regarding housing & services provided to youth are used to measure effectiveness.
4. The fact that there was a moderate decrease in the # of unsheltered homeless youth and a moderate increase of 9 sheltered youth in ES and TH and an increase of 3 in PH reported in 2018 vs 2017 in spite of the fact that 35 McKinney-Vento homeless liaisons provided responses to PIT in 2018 is indicative that the COC strategies are addressing homeless youth issues, as the number remained relatively stable over the year.

3B-1d.1. Youth Experiencing Homelessness–Measuring Effectiveness of Housing and Services Strategies.

 Applicants must:
 1. provide evidence the CoC uses to measure each of the strategies in question 3B-1d. to increase the availability of housing and services for youth experiencing homelessness;
 2. describe the measure(s) the CoC uses to calculate the effectiveness of both strategies in question 3B-1d.; and
 3. describe why the CoC believes the measure it uses is an appropriate way to determine the effectiveness of both strategies in question 3B-1d. (limit 3,000 characters)

1. Evidence used to measure strategies in question 3B-2.6: The PIT uses monitoring results, PIT & HIC data, system performance data and HMIS data to measure the effectiveness of unaccompanied youth services and housing availability. The fact that there was a reduction in the number of unsheltered youth and a moderate increase in the number of unaccompanied youth and parenting youth in ES, TH and PH is indicative that the COC is providing housing to meet the needs.
2. Measures used to calculate the effectiveness of the strategies: COC uses monitoring data, PIT and HIC data, system performance measures & HMIS data to measure effectiveness of strategies. The COC evaluated PIT and HIC data to evaluate the continued provision of housing and shelter activities for youth. HMIS data is used along with ESG monitoring results to evaluate outcomes. The COC has no youth projects funded under HUD COC funding, but does have a youth shelter funded under ESG. Emergency Solutions Grant funding recipients are required to submit ESG CAPER and ART 0625 APR reports to the Lead Agency on a quarterly basis. These reports are evaluated by the Monitoring and Evaluation Committee on a quarterly basis to evaluate actual project performance against planned performance objectives and results will be reported to the full COC partnership. Should project performance be below acceptable standards, the Evaluation Committee will recommend corrective action and/or technical assistance to bring performance to acceptable levels and will monitor progress toward achievement of acceptable performance. Should performance continue to be an issue additional technical assistance will be provided and if performance cannot be brought to acceptable levels, the

COC will work with the Oklahoma Department of Commerce to determine the appropriate course of action needed.

3. Why COC believes measures used are appropriate: The fact that there was a moderate decrease in the # of unsheltered homeless youth and a moderate increase of 9 sheltered youth reported in 2018 vs 2017 in spite of the fact that 35 McKinney-Vento homeless liaisons provided responses to PIT in 2018 is indicative that the COC strategies are addressing homeless youth issues, as the number remained relatively stable over the year.

3B-1e. Collaboration–Education Services.

Applicants must describe:

1. the formal partnerships with:
  a. youth education providers;
  b. McKinney-Vento LEA or SEA; and
  c. school districts; and

2. how the CoC collaborates with:
  a. youth education providers;
  b. McKinney-Vento Local LEA or SEA; and
  c. school districts.
(limit 2,000 characters)

A McKinney-Vento local liaison is an elected member of the COC Board and is directly involved in COC planning processes to provide input & direction to address homelessness among families & unaccompanied youth. McKinney-Vento liaisons & local school representatives from throughout the COC are contacted & participate in the PIT count. Early Head Start (EHS) & Head Start (HS) Directors are included in COC planning Processes as 2 partners operating HS & EHS Programs serve as elected representatives on COC board. Formal partnership agreement is in place with NEOCAA EHS & EHS Child Care Partnership, as lead agency NEOCAA operates those programs. Formal agreement is also in place with Grand HS as lead agency coordinates state appropriated funding for the program. Notices & outreach to McKinney-Vento local education liaisons & local school districts are sent out on a monthly basis, encouraging participation in COC meetings & processes. Formal partnerships exist with local education agencies through COC partners that operate HeadStart and Early Head Start programs.

3B-1e.1. Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homeless about Education Services Eligibility.

Applicants must describe policies and procedures the CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for education services.
(limit 2,000 characters)

Oklahoma state law mandates compulsory attendance at some public or other school (includes homeschooling) for children over the age of 5 and not yet 18. Homeless service providers in NE OK COC must comply with state law. Additionally, the COC requires that all agencies applying for HUD SHP and

ESG funding must adhere to HEARTH Act educational requirements and these agencies are required to describe policies and procedures ensuring compliance as part of their application. Monitoring protocols used by Monitoring committee include evaluation of compliance with policies & procedures requiring enrollment of children in appropriate educational services and connected to appropriate resources in the community. Local education agencies (LEAs) participate in COC activities & monthly
meetings. McKinney-Vento liaisons from LEAs are involved in COC. Service providers work with LEAs to ensure identification of homeless families & the educational needs of their children & work in collaboration with LEAs to ensure appropriate referral & enrollment. Service providers include assessment of educational needs of children as part of the assessment & intake processes. Participant case-management plans include consideration of educational needs of children & include appropriate educational referral & enrollment in appropriate educational opportunities for the children of families placed in ES & TH. Shelters providing services to families with children are required to describe processes for consideration of educational needs, to include having appropriate staff dedicated to assisting families access educational services for their children in accordance with state law & HEARTH Act requirements.

3B-1e.2. Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.

Applicant must indicate whether the CoC has an MOU/MOA or other types of agreements with listed providers of early childhood services and supports and may add other providers not listed.

	MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
Early Childhood Providers	Yes	Yes
Head Start	Yes	Yes
Early Head Start	Yes	Yes
Child Care and Development Fund	Yes	Yes
Federal Home Visiting Program	No	No
Healthy Start	Yes	No
Public Pre-K	Yes	Yes
Birth to 3 years	Yes	Yes
Tribal Home Visting Program	No	No
Other: (limit 50 characters)		

3B-2. Active List of Veterans Experiencing Homelessness.

Applicant must indicate whether the CoC uses an active list or by-name list to identify all veterans experiencing homelessness in the CoC. No

3B-2a. VA Coordination–Ending Veterans Homelessness.

Applicants must indicate whether the CoC is   No
actively working with the U.S. Department of
Veterans Affairs (VA) and VA-funded
programs to achieve the benchmarks and
criteria for ending veteran homelessness.

3B-2b. Housing First for Veterans.

Applicants must indicate whether the CoC   No
has sufficient resources to ensure each
veteran experiencing homelessness is
assisted to quickly move into permanent
housing using a Housing First approach.

3B-3. Racial Disparity Assessment. Attachment Required.

Applicants must:
1. select all that apply to indicate the findings from the CoC's Racial
Disparity Assessment; or
2. select 7 if the CoC did not conduct a Racial Disparity Assessment.

1. People of different races or ethnicities are more likely to receive homeless assistance.	☐
2. People of different races or ethnicities are less likely to receive homeless assistance.	☐
3. People of different races or ethnicities are more likely to receive a positive outcome from homeless assistance.	☐
4. People of different races or ethnicities are less likely to receive a positive outcome from homeless assistance.	☐
5. There are no racial or ethnic disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance.	☐
6. The results are inconclusive for racial or ethnic disparities in the provision or outcome of homeless assistance.	☐
7. The CoC did not conduct a racial disparity assessment.	X

4A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Accessing Mainstream Benefits and Additional Policies

Instructions:

Guidance for completing the application can be found in the FY 2019 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Availability and in the FY 2019 CoC Application Detailed Instructions.
  Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask-A-Question at
https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/

 Resources:
 The FY 2019 CoC Application Detailed Instruction can be found at:
https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources
 The FY 2019 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Availability at:
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/e-snaps/fy-2019-coc-program-nofa-coc-program-competition/#nofa-and-notices

Warning! The CoC Application score could be affected if information is incomplete on this formlet.

4A-1. Healthcare–Enrollment/Effective Utilization

Applicants must indicate, for each type of healthcare listed below, whether the CoC assists persons experiencing homelessness with enrolling in health insurance and effectively utilizing Medicaid and other benefits.

Type of Health Care	Assist with Enrollment	Assist with Utilization of Benefits?
Public Health Care Benefits **(State or Federal benefits, Medicaid, Indian Health Services)**	Yes	Yes
Private Insurers:	Yes	Yes
Non-Profit, Philanthropic:	Yes	Yes
Other: (limit 50 characters)		

4A-1a. Mainstream Benefits.

 Applicants must:
1. describe how the CoC systematically keeps program staff up to date regarding mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within the geographic area;
 2. describe how the CoC disseminates the availability of mainstream resources and other assistance information to projects and how often;
 3. describe how the CoC works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations to assist program participants with enrolling in

health insurance;
4. describe how the CoC provides assistance with the effective utilization of Medicaid and other benefits; and
5. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy for mainstream benefits.
(limit 2,000 characters)

Data show 92% of Case Managers routinely make referrals to mainstream providers, help clients fill out applications, and follow-up to ensure they access mainstream resources. Lead agency provides TA to increase this percentage by coordinating meetings with mainstream providers and program staff. Planning committee evaluates results and updates to performance objectives and action steps regarding access to mainstream resources. Mainstream providers are invited to participate in COC activities to enhance collaboration. Two Mainstream providers serve on COC board, providing information on a continual basis. Agencies are interviewed to see if there are barriers keeping them from helping clients access mainstream programs. If barriers are identified, COC arranges TA meetings between mainstream providers and project agencies to address issues. The Monitoring Committee tracks performance and reports to the Planning Committee and Lead Agency to follow up and develop strategics regarding mainstream benefits.

4A-2. Lowering Barriers to Entry Data:

Applicants must report:

1. Total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in FY 2019 CoC Program Competition.	6
2. Total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in FY 2019 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	6
Percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, Safe-Haven, SSO non-Coordinated Entry projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2019 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

4A-3. Street Outreach.

Applicants must:
1. describe the CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
2. state whether the CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;
3. describe how often the CoC conducts street outreach; and
4. describe how the CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.
(limit 2,000 characters)

1. Data shows 92% of Case Managers routinely make referrals to mainstream providers, help clients fill out applications & follow-up to ensure they access mainstream resources. Lead agency provides TA to increase this % by coordinating meetings with mainstream providers & program staff. Planning committee evaluates results & updates to performance objectives & action

steps regarding access to mainstream resources.
2. Mainstream providers are invited to participate in COC activities to enhance collaboration. 2 mainstream providers serve on COC board, providing information on a continual basis. Agencies are interviewed to see if there are barriers keeping them from helping clients access mainstream programs. If barriers are identified COC arranges TA meetings between mainstream providers & project agencies to address issues.
3. Monitoring Committee tracks performance & reports to Planning Committee & Lead Agency to follow up & develop strategies regarding mainstream benefits.

4A-4. RRH Beds as Reported in HIC.

Applicants must report the total number of rapid rehousing beds available to serve all household types as reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) for 2018 and 2019.

	2018	2019	Difference
RRH beds available to serve all populations in the HIC	58	12	-46

4A-5. Rehabilitation/Construction Costs–New Projects.   No

Applicants must indicate whether any new project application the CoC ranked and submitted in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2019 CoC Program Competition is requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction.

4A-6. Projects Serving Homeless under Other Federal Statutes.   No

Applicants must indicate whether the CoC is requesting to designate one or more of its SSO or TH projects to serve families with children or youth defined as homeless under other federal statutes.

4B. Attachments

Instructions:

Multiple files may be attached as a single .zip file. For instructions on how to use .zip files, a reference document is available on the e-snaps training site: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3118/creating-a-zip-file-and-capturing-a-screenshot-resource

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
_FY 2019 CoC Competition Report (HDX Report)	Yes	2019 HUDX Competi...	09/28/2019
1C-4.PHA Administration Plan–Moving On Multifamily Assisted Housing Owners' Preference.	No		
1C-4. PHA Administrative Plan Homeless Preference.	No		
1C-7. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System.	Yes	Coordinated Entry...	09/28/2019
1E-1.Public Posting–15-Day Notification Outside e-snaps–Projects Accepted.	Yes	Public Posting - ...	09/28/2019
1E-1. Public Posting–15-Day Notification Outside e-snaps–Projects Rejected or Reduced.	Yes	Public Posting - ...	09/28/2019
1E-1.Public Posting–30-Day Local Competition Deadline.	Yes	Public Posting - ...	09/28/2019
1E-1. Public Posting–Local Competition Announcement.	Yes	Public Posting - ...	09/28/2019
1E-4.Public Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	Public Posting - ...	09/28/2019
3A. Written Agreement with Local Education or Training Organization.	No		
3A. Written Agreement with State or Local Workforce Development Board.	No		
3B-3. Summary of Racial Disparity Assessment.	Yes	Racial Disparity ...	09/28/2019
4A-7a. Project List-Homeless under Other Federal Statutes.	No		
Other	No		
Other	No		

Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2019 HUDX Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Coordinated Entry System Standards

Attachment Details

Document Description: Public Posting - 15 Day Notification Outside Esnaps

Attachment Details

Document Description: Public Posting - 15 Day Notification Outside Esnaps - Projects Rejected or Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Public Posting - 30 Day Notification Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Public Posting - Local Competition Notification Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Public Posting - COC Approved Consolidated Application

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Racial Disparity Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. Identification	09/13/2019
1B. Engagement	09/13/2019
1C. Coordination	09/27/2019
1D. Discharge Planning	No Input Required
1E. Local CoC Competition	09/27/2019
1F. DV Bonus	No Input Required
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/28/2019
2B. PIT Count	09/28/2019
3A. System Performance	09/28/2019
3B. Performance and Strategic Planning	09/27/2019
4A. Mainstream Benefits and Additional Policies	09/26/2019
4B. Attachments	09/28/2019

Submission Summary   No Input Required

2019 HDX Competition Report

PIT Count Data for OK-505 - Northeast Oklahoma CoC

Total Population PIT Count Data

	2016 PIT	2017 PIT	2018 PIT	2019 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	368	377	392	228
Emergency Shelter Total	292	280	293	123
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	50	45	48	34
Total Sheltered Count	342	325	341	157
Total Unsheltered Count	26	52	51	71

Chronically Homeless PIT Counts

	2016 PIT	2017 PIT	2018 PIT	2019 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	35	41	93	28
Sheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	26	29	71	6
Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	9	12	22	22

2019 HDX Competition Report

PIT Count Data for OK-505 - Northeast Oklahoma CoC

Homeless Households with Children PIT Counts

	2016 PIT	2017 PIT	2018 PIT	2019 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Households with Children	**44**	**61**	**50**	**35**
Sheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	40	50	41	27
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	4	11	9	8

Homeless Veteran PIT Counts

	2011	2016	2017	2018	2019
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Veterans	**13**	**17**	**19**	**15**	**8**
Sheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	11	15	17	13	4
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	2	2	2	2	4

2019 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for OK-505 - Northeast Oklahoma CoC

HMIS Bed Coverage Rate

Project Type	Total Beds in 2019 HIC	Total Beds in 2019 HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
Emergency Shelter (ES) Beds	170	53	105	89.74%
Safe Haven (SH) Beds	0	0	0	NA
Transitional Housing (TH) Beds	33	0	33	100.00%
Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) Beds	49	3	46	100.00%
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) Beds	86	16	35	50.00%
Other Permanent Housing (OPH) Beds	0	0	0	NA
Total Beds	338	72	219	82.33%

2019 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for OK-505 - Northeast Oklahoma CoC

PSH Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

Chronically Homeless Bed Counts	2016 HIC	2017 HIC	2018 HIC	2019 HIC
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons identified on the HIC	45	50	55	6

Rapid Rehousing (RRH) Units Dedicated to Persons in Household with Children

Households with Children	2016 HIC	2017 HIC	2018 HIC	2019 HIC
RRH units available to serve families on the HIC	8	11	2	4

Rapid Rehousing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

All Household Types	2016 HIC	2017 HIC	2018 HIC	2019 HIC
RRH beds available to serve all populations on the HIC	30	58	12	49

2019 HDX Competition Report
FY2018 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Summary Report for OK-505 - Northeast Oklahoma CoC

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than October, 1, 2012.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.
Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)			Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)		
	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
1.1 Persons in ES and SH	318	880	46	54	8	10	20	10
1.2 Persons in ES, SH, and TH	328	887	102	75	-27	49	37	-12

b. This measure is based on data element 3.17.

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

 The construction of this measure changed, per HUD's specifications, between FY 2016 and FY 2017. HUD is aware that this may impact the change between these two years.

2019 HDX Competition Report
FY2018 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)			Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)		
	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
1.1 Persons in ES, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	302	876	108	117	9	12	39	27
1.2 Persons in ES, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	328	882	173	140	-33	83	52	-31

2019 HDX Competition Report

FY2018 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 2: The Extent to which Persons who Exit Homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations Return to Homelessness

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range.Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

 After entering data, please review and confirm your entries and totals. Some HMIS reports may not list the project types in exactly the same order as they are displayed below.

	Total # of Persons who Exited to a Permanent Housing Destination (2 Years Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
		FY 2018	% of Returns	FY 2018	% of Returns	FY 2018	% of Returns	FY 2018	% of Returns
Exit was from SO	24	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%
Exit was from ES	261	24	9%	2	1%	1	0%	27	10%
Exit was from TH	41	1	2%	3	7%	2	5%	6	15%
Exit was from SH	0	0		0		0		0	
Exit was from PH	132	5	4%	0	0%	2	2%	7	5%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	458	30	7%	6	1%	5	1%	41	9%

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

2019 HDX Competition Report

FY2018 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

This measures the change in PIT counts of sheltered and unsheltered homeless person as reported on the PIT (not from HMIS).

	January 2017 PIT Count	January 2018 PIT Count	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons	377	392	15
Emergency Shelter Total	280	293	13
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	45	48	3
Total Sheltered Count	325	341	16
Unsheltered Count	52	51	-1

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	331	895	564
Emergency Shelter Total	305	854	549
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	174	152	-22

FY2018 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	15	18	3
Number of adults with increased earned income	2	5	3
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	13%	28%	15%

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	15	18	3
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	5	6	1
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	33%	33%	0%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	15	18	3
Number of adults with increased total income	7	8	1
Percentage of adults who increased total income	47%	44%	-3%

2019 HDX Competition Report
FY2018 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	156	165	9
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	57	74	17
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	37%	45%	8%

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	156	165	9
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	3	5	2
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	2%	3%	1%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	156	165	9
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	60	78	18
Percentage of adults who increased total income	38%	47%	9%

2019 HDX Competition Report
FY2018 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 5: Number of persons who become homeless for the 1st time

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH or TH during the reporting period.	292	783	491
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	34	56	22
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	258	727	469

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	387	866	479
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	49	78	29
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	338	788	450

FY2018 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

This Measure is not applicable to CoCs in FY2018 (Oct 1, 2017 - Sept 30, 2018) reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

Metric 7a.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	36	44	8
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	18	12	-6
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	8	16	8
% Successful exits	72%	64%	-8%

Metric 7b.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

2019 HDX Competition Report

FY2018 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Persons in ES, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	300	883	583
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	212	381	169
% Successful exits	71%	43%	-28%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in exit to or retention of permanent housing

	Submitted FY 2017	FY 2018	Difference
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	62	63	1
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	47	52	5
% Successful exits/retention	76%	83%	7%

2019 HDX Competition Report
FY2018 - SysPM Data Quality

OK-505 - Northeast Oklahoma CoC

This is a new tab for FY 2016 submissions only. Submission must be performed manually (data cannot be uploaded). Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your Sys PM submissions.

Your bed coverage data has been imported from the HIC module. The remainder of the data quality points should be pulled from data quality reports made available by your vendor according to the specifications provided in the HMIS Standard Reporting Terminology Glossary. You may need to run multiple reports into order to get data for each combination of year and project type.

You may enter a note about any field if you wish to provide an explanation about your data quality results. This is not required.

2019 HDX Competition Report
FY2018 - SysPM Data Quality

	All ES, SH				All TH				All PSH, OPH				All RRH				All Street Outreach			
	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
1. Number of non-DV Beds on HIC	269	270	234	234	52	52	52	53	57	61	57	84	4	13	49	9				
2. Number of HMIS Beds	85	86	50	128	44	44	44	45	35	51	35	39	0	13	49	9				
3. HMIS Participation Rate from HIC (%)	31.60	31.85	21.37	54.70	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.91	61.40	83.61	61.40	46.43	0.00	100.00	100.00	100.00				
4. Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	517	357	312	963	146	142	174	162	66	70	66	50	107	75	84	77	0	0	0	0
5. Total Leavers (HMIS)	475	326	283	841	115	114	130	135	38	40	34	27	93	53	79	70	0	0	0	0
6. Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	9	9	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
7. Destination Error Rate (%)	1.89	2.76	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.29				

2019 HDX Competition Report
Submission and Count Dates for OK-505 - Northeast Oklahoma CoC

Date of PIT Count

	Date	Received HUD Waiver
Date CoC Conducted 2019 PIT Count	1/24/2019	

Report Submission Date in HDX

|	Submitted On	Met Deadline
2019 PIT Count Submittal Date	4/30/2019	Yes
2019 HIC Count Submittal Date	4/30/2019	Yes
2018 System PM Submittal Date	5/31/2019	Yes

CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool

Homelessness and poverty counts at the CoC and State level

Select your CoC

| OK-505 | Northeast Oklahoma CoC |

Distribution of Race

All People

Youth*

Veterans

*Youth are individuals under the age of 25 who are unaccompanied or parenting.

Distribution of Ethnicity

All People

Youth*

Veterans

*Youth experiencing homelessness is limited to unaccompanied and parenting youth persons under 25.

CoC Data

Race and Ethnicity	All (ACS)[1] All #	%	In Families with Children #	%	In Poverty (ACS)[1] All #	%	In Families with Children #	%	Experiencing Homelessness (PIT)[2] All #	%	In Families with Children #	%	Experiencing Sheltered Homelessness (PIT)[2] All #	%	In Families with Children #	%	Experiencing Unsheltered Homelessness (PIT)[2] All #	%	In Families with Children #	%
All People	442,388		375,421		76,703		59,797		377		168		325		132		52		36	
Race																				
White	302,066	68%	263,470	70%	45,132	59%	35,174	59%	235	62%	99	59%	196	60%	69	52%	39	75%	30	83%
Black	6,930	2%	4,536	1%	1,671	2%	1,195	2%	3	1%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Native American/Alaskan	73,961	17%	61,901	16%	16,713	22%	13,005	22%	107	28%	52	31%	95	29%	47	36%	12	23%	5	14%
Asian/Pacific Islander	4,220	1%	3,540	1%	551	1%	148	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other/Multi-Racial	55,211	12%	41,974	11%	12,636	16%	10,274	17%	32	8%	16	10%	31	10%	15	11%	1	2%	1	3%
Ethnicity																				
Hispanic	19,491	4%	13,196	4%	6,143	8%	4,721	8%	10	3%	2	1%	10	3%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Non-Hispanic	422,897	96%	362,225	96%	70,560	92%	55,076	92%	367	97%	166	99%	315	97%	130	98%	52	100%	36	100%
Youth <25	146,951		NOT AVAILABLE						56		6		50		5		6		1	
Race																				
White	83,672	57%	--	--	--	--	--	--	42	75%	5	83%	39	78%	5	100%	3	50%	0	0%
Black	2,535	2%	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Native American/Alaskan	31,728	22%	--	--	--	--	--	--	13	23%	1	17%	10	20%	0	0%	3	50%	1	100%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1,189	1%	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Other/Multi-Racial	27,827	19%	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Ethnicity																				
Hispanic	11,161	8%	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	4%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Non-Hispanic	135,790	92%	--	--	--	--	--	--	54	96%	6	100%	48	96%	5	100%	6	100%	1	100%
Veterans	0		NOT AVAILABLE						19		NOT AVAILABLE		17		NOT AVAILABLE		2	100%	NOT AVAILABLE	
Race																				
White	0	0%	--	--					14	74%			13	76%			1	50%		
Black	0	0%	--	--					0	0%			0	0%			0	0%		
Native American/Alaskan	0	0%	--	--					3	16%			2	12%			1	50%		
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	--	--					0	0%			0	0%			0	0%		
Other/Multi-Racial	0	0%	--	--					2	11%			2	12%			0	0%		
Ethnicity																				
Hispanic	0	0%	--	--					1	5%			1	6%			0	0%		
Non-Hispanic	0	0%	--	--					18	95%			16	94%			2	100%		

State Data

Race and Ethnicity	All (ACS)[1] All #	%	In Families with Children #	%	In Poverty (ACS)[1] All #	%	In Families with Children #	%	Experiencing Homelessness (PIT)[2] All #	%	In Families with Children #	%
All People	3,849,733		3,127,137		624,043		459,501		4,199		1,375	
Race												
White	2,813,794	73%	2,271,999	73%	383,454	61%	268,793	58%	2,594	62%	804	58%
Black	278,571	7%	209,507	7%	78,582	13%	59,626	13%	767	18%	240	17%
Native American/Alaskan	279,276	7%	237,461	8%	60,339	10%	48,259	11%	577	14%	203	15%
Asian/Pacific Islander	74,570	2%	61,782	2%	10,977	2%	6,701	1%	10	1%	10	1%
Other/Multi-Racial	403,522	10%	346,388	11%	90,691	15%	76,122	17%	236	6%	118	9%
Ethnicity												
Hispanic	371,459	10%	330,186	11%	97,129	16%	87,072	19%	307	7%	158	11%
Non-Hispanic	3,478,274	90%	2,796,951	89%	526,914	84%	372,429	81%	3,892	93%	1,217	89%
Youth <25	1,337,341		NOT AVAILABLE		307,680		NOT AVAILABLE		413		50	
Race												
White	866,188	65%	--	--	171,859	56%	--	--	266	64%	39	78%
Black	111,423	8%	--	--	41,976	14%	--	--	52	13%	4	8%
Native American/Alaskan	120,404	9%	--	--	31,317	10%	--	--	65	16%	5	10%
Asian/Pacific Islander	26,496	2%	--	--	5,142	2%	--	--	3	1%	0	0%
Other/Multi-Racial	212,830	16%	--	--	57,386	19%	--	--	27	7%	2	4%
Ethnicity												
Hispanic	195,366	15%	--	--	61,607	20%	--	--	31	8%	3	6%
Non-Hispanic	1,141,975	85%	--	--	246,073	80%	--	--	382	92%	47	94%
Veterans	295,847		NOT AVAILABLE						349		NOT AVAILABLE	
Race												
White	243,583	82%	--	--					234	67%	--	--
Black	20,981	7%	--	--					59	17%	--	--
Native American/Alaskan	14,413	5%	--	--					44	13%	--	--
Asian/Pacific Islander	1,021	0%	--	--					1	0%	--	--
Other/Multi-Racial	15,849	5%	--	--					11	3%	--	--
Ethnicity												
Hispanic	8,827	3%	--	--					11	3%	--	--
Non-Hispanic	287,020	97%	--	--					338	97%	--	--

Sources:
[1] American Community Survey (ACS) 2011-2015 5-yr estimates; Veteran CoC data comes from the ACS 2015 1-yr estimates; Total youth in the American Community Survey is a rollup of race estimates of all persons under 25.
[2] Point-In-Time (PIT) 2017 data
Note: Race estimates of individuals in families with children are based on the race of the householder.